



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/468,611 | 12/21/1999 | ERIC B. REMER | 42390.P7278 | 3835 |

7590 08/13/2003

DONNA JO CONINGSBY
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

EXAMINER

HAYES, JOHN W

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3621

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 09/468,611 | Applicant(s) REMER ET AL. |
| | Examiner John W Hayes | Art Unit 3621 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John W Hayes. (3) _____.

(2) Joseph Pugh. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 August 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Misra, Gradient and Bains.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claim language related to generating a license by a first computer and applicant asserted that none of the references actually disclose creating a license by a first computer for software that is installed on the first computer. Examiner indicated that Gradient appears to disclose this feature since Gradient discloses a tool that enables users to create software licenses on their own system. Applicant indicated that he believes the Gradient merely teaches that the users install the license that is generated by a license server. Examiner agreed to reconsider the reference to Gradient upon the filing of an amendment, however, if the amendment amends the claims in such a way as to require a new search, then an advisory action will be issued. Applicant also argued that the Bains reference is different from the present invention since it discloses a different type of license, however, the claims do not recite the type of license being installed such as a one time installation license, a trial license, or a license that needs to be authorized each time the software is executed..